



Energy-Efficiency and GHG Emissions Reduction Potential in Industrial Motor Systems in the 30 States in the U.S.

[Download the full reports from this link](#)



Research Director: Ali Hasanbeigi, Ph.D.

October 2017

©2017 Global Efficiency Intelligence, LLC.

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 170674, San Francisco, CA 94117 USA

Tel. +1-415-697 9016

E-mail: info@globalefficiencyintel.com

Website: www.globalefficiencyintel.com

LinkedIn: www.linkedin.com/company/global-efficiency-intelligence

Facebook: @ GlobalEfficiencyIntel

About Global Efficiency Intelligence, LLC

Global Efficiency Intelligence, LLC is an energy and environmental consulting and market research firm located in San Francisco, CA. We provide global market-based solutions and in-depth technology, systems, industry, business, and policy analyses. We work with experts in government, industry, non-profits, utilities, academia, and other entities worldwide to conduct our analyses and develop impactful and practical solutions. We use systems thinking, integrative modeling, and data analytics to turn data into actionable information and to provide scientific-engineering solutions. We offer Modeling and Analysis, Policy Design and Evaluation, Technology and Industry Roadmapping, Market Research, and Training and Capacity Building services in the following areas: Energy Efficiency; GHG Emissions Reduction; Water-Energy-Climate Nexus; Manufacturing Resources Efficiency; Demand Response; Smart Manufacturing & Industrial IOT; Emerging Technologies; Deep Electrification and Decarbonization; Supply Chain Energy and Carbon Footprint.

U.S. and Global Industrial Motor Systems Efficiency Initiative

Global Efficiency Intelligence, LLC has been working on a global initiative to study industrial motor systems efficiency in more than 50 countries from all continents worldwide and 30 individual U.S. states. We work with public and private stakeholders, focusing on industrial pumps, fans, and compressed-air systems, which together account for more than 70% of electricity used in industrial motor systems. We conduct country-level analyses, including energy use by motor system type and system size and by manufacturing subsector (e.g., chemical, food, textile, steel, machinery, pulp and paper, etc.) and energy-saving potentials and cost by technology and system size for each country/state. We analyze barriers to and drivers of energy efficiency and system optimization in industrial motor systems in each country/state, including policy making and market implications.

To find more, please visit our website at www.globalefficiencyintel.com

Introduction

Industrial electric motors account for over 70% of electricity consumption in manufacturing in the U.S. Motors are used to drive pumps, fans, compressed air systems, material handling, processing systems and more. Industrial motor systems represent a largely untapped cost-effective source for energy savings that could be realized with existing commercialized technologies. Compressed air systems are widely used throughout manufacturing industries. In many industrial facilities, air compressors are among the highest electricity consuming equipment. Inefficiencies in compressed air systems are common.

One of the major barriers to effective policy making and increased action by states and utilities to improve energy efficiency in industrial compressed air systems is the lack of information and data on the magnitude and cost-effectiveness of the energy savings potential in industrial compressed air systems in each state. This lack of information creates an obstacle to developing a comprehensive and effective strategy, roadmap, and programs for improving compressed air systems efficiency cost-effectively. It is far easier to quantify the incremental energy savings of substituting an energy-efficient motor for a standard motor than it is to quantify the energy savings of applying other energy efficiency and system optimization practices to an existing compressed air system.

Global Efficiency Intelligence, LLC. conducted a large initiative to study industrial motor systems in 30 states from different U.S. regions. This includes the top 20 U.S. states in terms of industrial energy consumption. We focused on industrial pumps, fans, and compressed-air systems which together account for over 70% of electricity use in U.S. industrial motor systems. Overall, we have published 21 reports covering 30 U.S. states and these three motor system types.

Key analyses and results included in the reports:

- Electricity use by manufacturing subsector (NAICS code 31-33) in each state studied
- Electricity use for motor systems and compressed air systems by manufacturing subsector (NAICS code 31-33) in each state studied
- Electricity use by industrial compressed air system by size in each state studied
- Market barriers to energy efficiency in industrial motor and compressed air systems
- Energy Efficiency Cost Curves for industrial compressed air systems for each state using ten major energy efficiency measures
- Energy saving potential and cost of conserved energy (US\$/MWh-saved) for each efficiency measures in each state studied

- The cost-effective and total technical energy efficiency potential in industrial compressed air systems in each state studied
- Energy saving potential for each energy efficiency measure by system size
- GHG emissions reduction potential for each efficiency measure in each state
- Sensitivity of the results with respect to changes in electricity prices and discount rates
- Implications for markets, utilities, and policy makers

Who should read these reports?

- Utilities
- Government energy and environmental agencies
- State regulators and policy makers
- Energy Service Companies (ESCOs)
- Demand Response (DR) service and technology providers
- Energy management service and technology providers
- Motor, compressor, and compressed air systems service and technology providers
- Energy efficiency equipment vendors
- Climate and environmental NGOs and think tanks
- Investor community

[Download the full reports from this link](#)

U.S. Industrial Motor Systems Energy Efficiency Reports Covering 30 States:

- Energy-Efficiency Potential in Industrial **Compressed air systems** in the U.S. States listed below (separate report for each region)
- Energy-Efficiency Potential in Industrial **Fan Systems** in the U.S. States listed below (separate report for each region)
- Energy-Efficiency Potential in Industrial **Pump Systems** in the U.S. States listed below (separate report for each region)

[Download the full reports from this link](#)

No.	Regions/ States	No.	Regions/ States
	Northeast Region		South Atlantic Region
1	Massachusetts	20	Florida
2	New Hampshire	21	Georgia
3	New Jersey	22	North Carolina
4	New York	23	South Carolina
	East North Central Region		Virginia
5	Illinois		East South Central Region
6	Indiana	24	Alabama
7	Michigan	25	Kentucky
8	Ohio	26	Tennessee
9	Wisconsin		West South Central Region
	West North Central Region	27	Arkansas
10	Iowa	28	Louisiana
11	Kansas	29	Oklahoma
12	Missouri	30	Texas
13	Nebraska		
14	South Dakota		
15	West Region		
16	Montana		
17	Arizona		
18	California		
19	Washington		

Table of Content and List of Figures and Tables for a Sample report:

Energy-Efficiency and GHG Emissions Reduction Potential in Industrial Compressed Air Systems in the Western U.S. States of Arizona, California, Montana, and Washington

Table of Contents for Sample Report Covering Western U.S. States

Executive Summary

1. Introduction

2. Market Barriers to Energy Efficiency in Motor and Compressed Air Systems

3. Energy Use in Industrial Motor and Compressed Air Systems in each State, by Manufacturing Subsector

3.1. Industrial Electricity Use in each State by Manufacturing Subsector

3.2. Industrial Motor Systems Electricity Use in each State by Manufacturing Subsectors

3.3. Electricity Use in Industrial Compressed Air Systems in each State by Manufacturing Subsectors

3.4. Electricity Use in Industrial Compressed Air Systems in each State by System Size

4. Energy Efficiency Potential and Cost in Industrial Compressed Air Systems in each State

4.1. Energy-Efficiency Cost Curve for Industrial Compressed Air Systems in Arizona

4.2. Energy-Efficiency Cost Curve for Industrial Compressed Air Systems in California

4.3. Energy-Efficiency Cost Curve for Industrial Compressed Air Systems in Montana

4.4. Energy-Efficiency Cost Curve for Industrial Compressed Air Systems in Washington

4.5. Sensitivity Analyses

5. Summary and Implications for Markets, Utilities, and Policy Makers

5.1. Summary

5.2. Implications for Markets, Utilities, and Policy Makers

Appendices

Appendix 1. List of acronyms

Appendix 2. List of Figures and Tables

Appendix 3. Methodology and Scope of the Study

Appendix 4. Bibliography and References

Appendix 5. Related Reports from Global Efficiency Intelligence, LLC.

List of Figure for Sample Report Covering Western U.S. States

Figure 1. Global total final electricity use by end use in 2014

Figure 2. Electric motor systems energy use profile

Figure 3. Final electricity consumption in motor-driven systems in the IEA's New Policies and 450 Scenarios

Figure 4. Illustration of two industrial electric motor-driven systems: (a) normal and (b) efficient

Figure 5. A typical compressed air system

Figure 6. Industrial electricity use by manufacturing subsector (NAICS code 31-33) in Arizona in 2015

Figure 7. Industrial electricity use by manufacturing subsector (NAICS code 31-33) in California in 2015

Figure 8. Industrial electricity use by manufacturing subsectors (NAICS code 31-33) in Montana in 2015

Figure 9. Industrial electricity use by manufacturing subsector (NAICS code 31-33) in Washington in 2015

Figure 10. Share of motor systems from total electricity use in manufacturing in Arizona, California, Montana, and Washington in 2015

Figure 11. Estimated industrial compressed air systems electricity use by manufacturing subsectors (NAICS code 31-33) In Arizona in 2015

Figure 12. Estimated industrial compressed air systems electricity use by manufacturing subsectors (NAICS code 31-33) In California in 2015

Figure 13. Estimated industrial compressed air systems electricity use by manufacturing subsectors (NAICS code 31-33) In Montana in 2015

Figure 14. Estimated industrial compressed air systems electricity use by manufacturing subsectors (NAICS code 31-33) In Washington in 2015

Figure 15. Estimated industrial compressed air systems electricity use by system size in Arizona in 2015

Figure 16. Estimated industrial compressed air systems electricity use by system size in California in 2015

Figure 17. Estimated industrial compressed air systems electricity use by system size in Montana in 2015

Figure 18. Estimated industrial compressed air systems electricity use by system size in Washington in 2015

Figure 19. Energy Efficiency Cost Curve for industrial compressed air systems in Arizona

Figure 20. Comparison of energy saving potential (GWh/yr) for each efficiency measure in Arizona when each measure is implemented in isolation or is implemented along with other measures

Figure 21. Energy Efficiency Cost Curve for industrial compressed air systems in California

Figure 22. Comparison of energy saving potential (GWh/yr) for each efficiency measure in California when each measure is implemented in isolation or is implemented along with other measures

Figure 23. Energy Efficiency Cost Curve for industrial compressed air systems in Montana

Figure 24. Comparison of energy saving potential (GWh/yr) for each efficiency measure in Montana when each measure is implemented in isolation or is implemented along with other measures

Figure 25. Energy Efficiency Cost Curve for industrial compressed air systems in Washington

Figure 26. Comparison of energy saving potential (GWh/yr) for each efficiency measure in Washington when each measure is implemented in isolation or is implemented along with other measures

List of Tables for Sample Report Covering Western U.S. States

Table 1. Industrial compressed air system electricity-savings potential in five Western U.S. states in 2015

Table 2. Share of motor systems and compressed air systems electricity use in each U.S. manufacturing subsector

Table 3. Industrial motor systems electricity use by manufacturing subsectors (NAICS code 31-33) for each state studied in 2015

Table 4. Share of compressed air systems from total electricity use in manufacturing and from industrial motor systems electricity use in each state in 2015

Table 5. Cumulative annual electricity saving and CO₂ emission reduction potential for efficiency measures in industrial compressed air systems in Arizona ranked by final CCE

Table 6. Total annual cost-effective and technical energy saving and CO₂ emissions reduction potential in industrial compressed air systems in Arizona

Table 7. Cumulative annual electricity saving potential for efficiency measures in industrial compressed air systems in Arizona by system size (GWh/yr)

Table 8. Cumulative annual electricity saving and CO₂ emission reduction potential for efficiency measures in industrial compressed air systems in California ranked by final CCE

Table 9. Total annual cost-effective and technical energy saving and CO₂ emissions reduction potential in industrial compressed air systems in California

Table 10. Cumulative annual electricity saving potential for efficiency measures in industrial compressed air systems in California by system size (GWh/yr)

Table 11. Cumulative annual electricity saving and CO₂ emission reduction potential for efficiency measures in industrial compressed air systems in Montana ranked by their final CCE

Table 12. Total annual cost-effective and technical energy saving and CO₂ emissions reduction potential in industrial compressed air systems in Montana

Table 13. Cumulative annual electricity saving potential for efficiency measures in industrial compressed air systems in Montana by system size (GWh/yr)

Table 14. Cumulative annual electricity saving and CO₂ emission reduction potential for efficiency measures in industrial compressed air systems in Washington ranked by their final CCE

Table 15. Total annual cost-effective and technical energy saving and CO₂ emissions reduction potential in industrial compressed air systems in Washington

Table 16. Cumulative annual electricity saving potential for efficiency measures in industrial compressed air systems in Washington by system size (GWh/yr)

Table 17. Sensitivity analyses for the cost-effective electricity saving potentials in the industrial compressed air systems with different discount rates

Table 18. Sensitivity analyses for the cost-effective electricity saving potentials in the industrial compressed air system with different electricity price

Table 19. Total annual technical energy saving and CO₂ emissions reduction potential in industrial compressed air systems in the studied states

Table 20. Policies driving customer-funded energy-efficiency programs

[Download the full reports from this link](#)